DRAFT EIS FINDINGS: NOISE, PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY, ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

	POTENTIAL IMPACTS	MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, MONITORING, AND MITIGATION	
		CURRENT:	PROPOSED:
Noise	**Noise from training activities would result in significant impacts on the acoustic environment, but would not interfere with typical land uses.** ▶ New areas of noise exposure on lands under eastern portion of special use airspace ▶ Incidents of speech interference, classroom interference, and probability of awakening increased, especially near Gabbs ▶ New areas potentially receiving sonic booms ▶ Noise contours above 65 decibels contained within bombing ranges, except Bravo-16	▶ Implement existing policies and procedures ▶ Continue to log noise complaints	▶ Designate Crescent Valley and Eureka as noise-sensitive areas; implement buffer zones ▶ Implement an airspace exclusion area over Gabbs Airport
Public Health and Safety and Protection of Children	**Alternatives would not result in significant impacts.** ▶ No significant impacts on public health and safety and the protection of children ▶ No disproportionate environmental health or safety risks to children	▶ Measures in place so nonparticipants are not endangered by military actions	▶ Develop Fire Management Plan to reduce risk of wildfire ▶ Post warning signs where clearance of unexploded ordnance not confirmed ▶ Establish procedures to protect authorized individuals entering ranges ▶ Restrict public access from hazardous ordnance activities (fencing off bombing ranges) ▶ Ensure target areas are unoccupied prior to ordnance activities ▶ Maintain Range Sustainability Environmental Program Assessment ▶ Continue operational range clearance activities
Environmental Justice	**Alternatives would not result in significant impacts.** ▶ No disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on any minority or low-income populations ▶ No significant environmental justice impacts	▶ Identify and address any disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations	▶ No new practices or measures warranted for impacts based on the analysis

Potential Socioeconomic Effects Related to These Resource Area Impacts

Socioeconomic impacts are not anticipated with implementation of Alternatives 1, 2, or 3.